NUMBER CDD-16
PROPOSED COUNCIL STUDY ISSUE
For Calendar Year: 2004
Continuing ☐ New ☒
New ⊠ Previous Year (below line/defer) □
Trevious real (below line/delei)
Issue: Size of street address numbers
Lead Department: CDD
General Plan Element or Sub-Element: Community DesignSafety Land Use
1. What are the key elements of the issue? What precipitated it?
This issue was initiated by a letter and testimony from a citizen expressing concern ove difficulty in determining the building addresses on retail and commercial areas. The lette suggests that the City adopt an ordinance stating that by 2005, all commercial properties must use 10-inch high numerals for street numbers and shopping centers with building numbers are to display the street numbers on the front of the property.
Current zoning and fire safety requirements are that street addresses be included on ground signs (if built) and on the entrances to buildings. Older signs Constructed prior to the address requirement are legal non-conforming and are not required to modify existing signs to comply with this requirement. State law mandates a full inventory of non-conforming signs when a City proposes to adopt an amortization program.
This study would look at modifying the letter size requirement for addresses and whether o not to have an amortization program. Staff would also examine an education program to bring non-conforming addresses into compliance.
2. How does this relate to the General Plan or existing City Policy?
Community Design Sub-Element Policy 2.5B.3 Minimize elements which clutter the roadway and look unattractive. Action Statements 2.5B.3e. Maintain a sign ordinance to assure that signage is attractive, compatible with the district and not distracting to motorists.
3. Origin of issue:
Councilmember: Howe and Walker

General Plan:

Staff:

	BOARD or COMMISSION					
	Arts		Library			
	Bldg. Code of Appeals		Parks & Rec.			
	CCAB		Personnel			
	Heritage & Preservation		Planning	İ	\boxtimes	
	Housing & Human Svcs			·	<u> </u>	
	Board / Commission Rank	ing/Comme	nt:			
(inse	ert text)					
	(inport PSC) Poord	Commission	an rankad	~f		
	(insert B&C) Board /	Commission	on ranked	of		
4.	Due date for Continuing and Mandatory issues (if known):					
5.	Multiple Year Project? Yes ☐ No ⊠ Expected Year of Completion					
	If the study includes an am year.	ortization p	rogram, this study ma	y take mo	re than a	
6.	Estimated work hours for completion of the study issue.					
	(a) Estimated work hours from the lead department			25	250*	
	(b) Estimated work hours from consultant(s):					
	(c) Estimated work hours from the City Attorney's Office:			30		
	(d) List any other department hours:	ent(s) and n	number of work			
	Department(s): Public	Safety			30	
	Total Estimated Hours: *If amortization program wer	Hours: 310 ogram were included, at least 400 hours would be needed.				
7.	Expected participation involved in the study issue process?					
	(a) Does Council need to approve a work plan?			Yes 🗌	No 🖂	
	(b) Does this issue require review by a Board/Commission?			Yes 🛚	No 🗌	
	If so, which Board/Co	mmission?	Planning Commission			
	(c) Is a Council Study Sess	sion anticip	ated?	Yes	No 🗌	

(d) What is the public participation process?

	each to the business community irement (e.g. location, number hei	and the public on the adequacy of current address ght, etc.) would be necessary.
8.	Estimated Fiscal Impact:	
	Cost of Study	\$
	Capital Budget Costs	\$
	New Annual Operating Costs	\$
	New Revenues or Savings	\$
	10 Year RAP Total	\$
direct project and the Cit	ctor should also note the rela- ects that the department is cult the impact on existing services	ndation ation if "for" or "against" study. Department tive importance of this study to other major rrently working on or that are soon to begin,
revie	wed by	
	Department Director	
appro	oved by	
	City Manager	